## Reply form

# for the public consultation on Proposal for a Commission definition of the term "nanomaterial" Send to <a href="mailto:ENV-NANO-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu">ENV-NANO-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu</a>

Name	Azoulay / Duprez
Surname	David / Louise
Title	Managing Attorney / Policy Officer
Name of organisation	Centre for International Environmental Law (CIEL)
	European Environmental Bureau (EEB)
	On behalf of:
	1. Centre for International Environmental Law (CIEL)
	2. European Environmental Bureau (EEB)
	3. Client Earth
	4. European Environmental Citizens' Organisation for
	Standardisation
	5. Food and Water Watch Europe
	6. Friends of the Earth Europe
	7. Greenpeace Europe
	8. Health & Environment Alliance
	9. Health Care Without Harm Europe
	10. Pesticide Action Network Europe
	11. Women in Europe for a Common Future
	12. Action For Breast Cancer Foundation
	13. Associazione Malattie da Intossicazione Cronica e/o
	Ambientale
	14. Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland e.V.
	(BUND/ FoE Germany)
	15. Deutscher Naturschutzring
	16. EU Environmental Bureau Austria / EU-Umweltbüro
	17. Federation inter-environnement Wallonie
	18. France nature Environnement 19. Initiativ Liewensufank
	20. Institute for Sustainable Development 21. International Baby Food Action Network (IBFAN)
	Luxembourg
	22. International Chemical Secretariat – ChemSec (Sweden)
	23. Mediterranean Information Office for Environment,
	Culture and Sustainable Development (MIO –ECSDE)
	24. Pestizid Aktions-Netzwerk e.V. / Pesticide Action
	Network - Germany
	25. The Irish Doctors Environmental Association (IDEA)
	26. APROMAC (Associação de Proteção ao meio Ambiante
	de Cianorte ) (Brésil)
	27. Center for Food Safety (USA)
	28. Centro de Análisis y Acción en Tóxicos y sus
	Alternativas (CAATA) (Mexico)
	29. Citizen against chemical pollution (Japan)

	T
	30. Commonweal (USA) 31. Confederacion General del Trabajo de la Replublica Argentina 32. Food and Water Watch US
	33. Friends of the Earth United States
	34. Grassroots Alliance PERESVET (Russia)
	35. Humane Society International (USA)
	36. Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy (IATP) (USA) 37. International Center for Technology Assessment (ICTA) (USA)
	38. International PoPs Elimination Network (IPEN)
	39. Island Sustainability Alliance (C.I.) Inc. (Cook Islands) 40. Nanotechnology Citizen Engagement Organization
	(NanoCEO) (USA)
	41. National Resources Defense Council (NRDC) (USA)
	42. National Toxic Network Inc. (Australia)
	43. Pesticide Action Network Aotearoa (New Zealand)
	44. Red de Accion sobre Plaguicidas y sus Alternativas para
	América Latina (RAP-AL)/ Pesticide Action Network
	Latin America (Mexico)
	45. SEEPOM (Société d'Education Environnementale et de
	Protection des Oiseaux au Maroc)
	46. Toxisphera (Brazil)
	Tot I simplifie (21421)
Postal address	Centre for International Environmental Law (CIEL)
	Rue des Savoises, 15
	1205 Geneva
	Switzerland
	European Environmental Bureau (EEB)
	34, Bd. de Waterloo
	B-1000 Brussels
	Belgium
Country	Switzerland / Belgium
E-mail address	dazoulay@ciel.org / louise.duprez@eeb.org
Phone number	CIEL: +41 22 321 47 74
	EEB: +32 2 289 13 07
	<u> </u>

With this consultation we are seeking your reasoned opinion, to be described below. Please note we **do not accept** comments in track changes in the European Commission proposed text. The consultation closes on 19 November 2010.

#### European Environmental Bureau (EEB) ID number: 06798511314-27

International and European environmental, health, and animal protection NGOs welcome the publication of a European Commission draft recommendation on the definition of the term "nanomaterial".

The adoption of an overarching, broadly applicable definition of nanomaterials will enable long awaited regulatory activities to start catching up with market development to ensure safe and responsible product development.

In particular, NGOs welcome the specific provisions of:

- Paragraph 7, calling for a regular review of the definition;
- Paragraph 8, in particular provisions based on SCENIHR's recommendation to base size distribution on particle numbers rather than mass concentration;
- Paragraph 9, in that it specifies the inclusion of aggregates and agglomerates,
- Paragraph 10, about the provision of additional guidance, but insist that the preparation and implementation of such guidelines should not delay the use and implementation of the recommended definition, nor undermine the objective of high level of protection of humans and the environment;
- Paragraph 11, in particular the reference to the possible inclusion of other materials, notably, materials such as fullerenes, smaller than 1nm;
- Paragraph 12, as the only way to give coherence to the burgeoning field of nanomaterials regulation in the EU.

On the draft proposed definition itself, environmental, health and animal protection NGOs would like to make the following comments:

The present understanding of nanomaterials properties and potential health and environmental impacts is still very limited and therefore warrants much research and careful evaluation.

Based on early evidence showing that some particles up to several hundred nanometers share many of the novel properties of nanomaterials under 100nm that are critical for risk assessment<sup>1</sup>, and on SCENIHR's acknowledgment that science cannot set an upper size limit value for nano-properties, NGOs favour a larger size range (i.e. 0,3 to 300 nm²) to define nanomaterials. This larger size range would allow more research and a better understanding of all nanomaterials, and whether particular nanomaterials may present concerns for human health or not<sup>3</sup>, and importantly, in what size range.

In this context, and as described in the technical comments provided to the SCENIHR's opinion, we call for the undertaking of a sensitivity analysis, to verify that the adopted size range captures as much material as possible about which there is already concern, while avoiding materials that do not give rise to nano scale-related concerns. This study should start immediately after the adoption and implementation of the proposed definition in order to be considered in the future revision process of the definition mentioned in paragraph 7 of the Commission's proposal.

In the meantime, signatories welcome the adoption of a reasonable and workable 1% threshold of the particle number size distribution as a way to include in the definition, and put under scrutiny, certain materials, which may exhibit nano specific hazardous properties in the larger size range.

Should this 1% threshold be modified pursuant to this consultation, it is our position that the size range chosen in this definition (1-100nm) should also be modified to a higher range

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These novel properties include very high reactivity, bioactivity and bioavailability, increased influence of particle surface effects, strong particle surface adhesion and strong ability to bind proteins. Cedervall et al, 2007; Garnett and Kallinteri 2006; Linse et al. 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See for example Friends of the Earth Australia's Discussion paper on nanotechnology standardisation and nomenclature issues, August 2008, available at <a href="http://www.ecostandard.org/downloads-a/2008-10-06-foea-nanotechnology.pdf">http://www.ecostandard.org/downloads-a/2008-10-06-foea-nanotechnology.pdf</a>

As stressed by SCENIHR's opinion that "[the] uncertainty (...) warrants the careful evaluation of possible risks associated with nanotechnology products" and that "Although the existing toxicological and ecotoxicological methods are appropriate to assess many of the hazards associated with the products and processes involving nanomaterials, they may not be sufficient to address all the hazards (...) it cannot be assumed that current scientific knowledge has elucidated all the potential adverse effects of nanomaterials" (SCENHIR-June 2007)

(such as 0,3-300 nm) to allow the definition to capture as much material as possible about which there is already concern (including fullerenes).

We would like to emphasise that any attempt to narrow the scope of a definition for nanomaterials at this stage could lead to potentially harmful substances escaping scrutiny, as well as to the potential exclusion in the future of substances that are presently not engineered to nano-sizes and configurations. Such a narrowed definition would deprive legislators of the necessary flexibility that is critical for the regulation of present issues, as well as for more complex upcoming challenges, including future generations of nanomaterials.

#### List of signatories:

#### European NGOs

#### **Centre for International Environmental Law**

Rue des Savoises, 15 1205 Geneva Switzerland

#### **European Environmental Bureau**

Federation of Environmental Citizens Organisations 34, Bd. de Waterloo B-1000 Brussels Belgium

#### **Client Earth**

36 Avenue de Tervueren, 1040 Brussels Belgium

#### **European Environmental Citizens' Organisation for Standardisation**

Mundo-B Rue d'Edimbourg, 26 B-1050 Brussels Belgium

#### **Food and Water Watch Europe**

Rue d'Edimbourg 26 Brussels 1050 Belgium

#### Friends of the Earth Europe

Postal address: Mundo-b building, Rue d-Edimbourg 26, 1050 Brussels Belgium

#### **Greenpeace Europe**

Belliardstraat 199 1040 Brussels Belgium

#### **Health & Environment Alliance**

28 Boulevard Charlemagne B-1000 Brussels Belgium

#### **Health Care Without Harm Europe**

Rue de la Pépinière 1 1000 Brussels Belgium

#### **Pesticide Action Network Europe**

Rue de la Pépinière 1 1000 Brussels Belgium

#### Women in Europe for a Common Future

Sankt Jakobs Platz 10 80331 München Germany

#### • European national NGOs

#### **Action For Breast Cancer Foundation**

27, Papa Pawlu V Street Qawra, St. Paul's Bay SPB 1111 Malta

#### Associazione Malattie da Intossicazione Cronica e/o Ambientale

A.M.I.C.A. P.O .Box 3131 00121 Rome Italy

## Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland e.V. (BUND) Friends of the Earth Germany

Am Köllnischen Park 1 10179 Berlin Germany

#### **Deutscher Naturschutzring**

Koblenzer Straße 65 53173 Bonn Germany

#### **EU Environmental Bureau Austria**

EU-Umweltbüro Strozzigasse 10/7-9 1080 Vienna Austria

#### **Federation Inter-Environnement Wallonie**

98 rue Nanon 5000 Namur Belgium

#### **France nature Environnement**

81-83 bd Port-Royal 75013 Paris France

#### **Initiativ Liewensufank**

20, rue de Contern L-5955 Itzig Luxemburg

#### **Institute for Sustainable Development**

Metelkova 6 1000 Ljubljana Slovenia

#### International Baby Food Action Network (IBFAN) Luxembourg

Avenue

Avenue de la Paix 11 1202 Geneva Switzerland

#### Internation chemical Secretariat (Chemsec)

P.O.Box 7005, SE - 402 31 Göteborg Sweden

#### MIO -ECSDE

Mediterranean Information Office for Environment, Culture and Sustainable Development 12, Kyrristou str. 105 56 Athens Greece

#### Pestizid Aktions-Netzwerk e.V.

Pesticide Action Network - Germany Nernstweg 32 D - 22765 Hamburg Germany

#### The Irish Doctors Environmental Association

IDEA Millbrook Clinic, Bandon, Co Cork Ireland

#### • International NGOs

#### APROMAC (Associação de Proteção ao meio Ambiante de Cianorte)

Rua Afonso Pena SN - Fundos da Extensão da UEM em Cianorte CEP: 87200-000 CIANORTE - PARANÁ Brazil

#### Centro de Análisis y Acción en Tóxicos y sus Alternativas (CAATA)

Amado Nervo 23, int 2, Col San Juanito, Texcoco. Edo de Mexico CP 56121

#### Mexico

#### **Center for Food Safety**

660 Pennsylvania Ave., SE, #302 Washington DC 20003 USA

#### Citizen against chemical pollution (Japan)

Z Bldg. 4F, 7-10-1 Kameido, Koto-ku, Tokyo, 136-0071 Japan

#### Commonweal

PO Box 316 Bolinas, CA 94924 USA

#### Confederation General del Trabajo de la republica Argentina

Secretaría de Relaciones Internacionales de CGTRA Cevallos 520 4º Piso - Buenos Aires Argentina

#### **Food and Water Watch US**

1616 P Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036 USA

#### Friends of the Earth United States

1717 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 600 Washington DC 20036-2002 USA

#### **Grassroots Alliance PERESVET**

Olega Koshevogo St., 80 of 12, 241029 Bryansk RUSSIA

### **Humane Society International**

2100 L St., NW Washington, D.C. 20037 USA

#### **International Center for Technology Assessment (ICTA)**

660 Pennsylvania Ave., SE Suite 302 Washington, DC 20003 USA

#### International PoPs Elimination Network (IPEN), secretariat

PO Box 7256 SE-402 35, Göteborg, Sweden

#### **Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy (IATP)**

2105 First Avenue South Minneapolis MN 55404 USA

#### Island Sustainability Alliance (C.I.) Inc.

P.O. Box 492 Rarotonga, Cook Islands

#### Nanotechnology Citizen Engagement Organization (NanoCEO)

1311 Lake View Ave. Madison, WI 53704 USA

#### **National Resources Defense Council (NRDC)**

40 West 20th Street New York, NY 10011 USA

#### **National Toxic Network Inc. (Australia)**

PO Box 173 Bangalow NSW 2479 Australia

#### **Pesticide Action Network Aotearoa**

PO Box 296 Ostend Waiheke Island Auckland 1843 New Zealand

#### Red de Accion sobre Plaguicidas y sus Alternativas para América Latina (RAP-AL)/ Pesticide Action Network Latin America

Centro de Estudios sobre Tecnologías Apropiadas de la Argentina Rivadavia 4097 P.O. Box 89 (1727), Marcos Paz Buenos Aires Argentina

#### **SEEPOM**

#### Société d'Education Environnementale et de Protection des Oiseaux au Maroc

N°3 lot 1 Haj Kaddour B.P: 48 Région de Meknes Morocco

#### **Toxisphera**

Rua Afonso Pena SN - Fundos da Extensão da UEM em Cianorte CEP: 87200-000 CIANORTE - PARANÁ Brazil