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Climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution are clearly some of the biggest challenges of our time. They are closely linked, reinforce inequalities, and represent a huge threat to security, safety, and peace. In order to turn these crucial issues into opportunities, we need to change everything: the way we live, our consumption pattern and production modes, our social systems, and our economic models. This systemic change can only succeed if we make it together. We need to endorse a holistic approach to build a safer and fairer world for all. In order to do this, we have to reconsider our values and principles and strengthen the respect we have for each other and for our environment.

Consequently, the promotion and protection of human rights and gender equality in the context of climate action are particularly important to me. The integration of climate justice in climate mitigation and adaptation actions can no longer remain a “plus” but has to become a binding obligation in countries’ strategy and policy developments. When you look at the preamble of the Paris Agreement, this is something Parties already signed on to do.

We need to make sure that when taking climate action, our focus is on the needs and protection of the most vulnerable, women and children, indigenous peoples, and local communities. The empowerment of women is at the heart of behavioral change. Women play a key role in the education of children and the implementation of grassroots knowledge on food and nutrition, and they should participate in decision-making processes. We also need to ensure full and effective access to information and participation of civil society in future climate action planning.

The climate crisis that the world is facing right now has impacts on several areas, including nature, air and water quality, and biodiversity, but above all, it is a humanitarian crisis. It affects lives, livelihoods, health, economies, and cultural heritage. Further, we humans are the only ones who can solve it. There is a lot to be done, and it has to be done now. This is urgent! Therefore, we all need to work together to achieve the goals we committed to under the UNFCCC, the 2030 Agenda, and the Paris Agreement. However, we can only do this if everyone has the same voice, the same opportunities, the same duties, and the same rights.

I hope this report will give you the insight needed to discuss the realization of human rights in the context of climate change in your home countries. It should give you the guidance needed to further deepen the reflection on human rights and encourage you to adopt a human-rights-based approach in your future climate action planning.

I would like to thank the Center for International Environmental Law for the completion of this report and wish you all a pleasant reading.

Carole Dieschbourg
Minister for the Environment, Climate and Sustainable Development
Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg
The past decades have seen heated debates about the correlation between human rights and the environment. There is no doubt that for this generation, climate change is one of the utmost threats to human rights, jeopardizing the fundamental rights to health, food, life, and an adequate standard of living for all people. When addressing climate change from a human rights perspective, there is less consensus on the corresponding obligations of governments and private actors to address this reality.

In this context, some countries are adopting and developing comprehensive approaches to recognize the importance of the principles of universality and non-discrimination, intending to ensure the fulfillment of human rights for all persons.

It is under this logic that Costa Rica has promoted an ambitious rights-based agenda. Costa Rica is looking to accelerate action while ensuring that all people, particularly those most vulnerable and marginalized, including women, indigenous peoples, and children, have the instruments to request respect for their fundamental rights.

In this regard, and as part of its commitment related to the promotion of human rights in climate action, Costa Rica, along with more than 30 other countries, established the Geneva Pledge for Human Rights in Climate Action. This initiative intends to facilitate the exchange of expertise and best practices between human rights and climate experts to build collective capacity to deliver responses to climate change that benefit people and the planet.

Additionally, in September 2018, Costa Rica launched its initiative For All Coalition in New York during the 73rd United Nations General Assembly. The coalition looks forward to highlighting the need to harmonize and adequately articulate messages and positions that recognize and promote gender equality, women’s empowerment, and human rights in all Multilateral Environmental Agreements. It also stresses the importance of acknowledging that these topics are vital for maximizing the effectiveness, efficiency, and inclusiveness of policies and efforts aimed at reducing the loss of biodiversity and fighting against climate change, desertification, and land degradation. Similar events took place during the Convention of Biological Diversity (COP-14) in November 2018, UNFCCC COP-24 in December 2018, and UNEA-4 in March 2019. Countries from different continents and international organizations, including UN Women, OHCHR, UN Environment, and UN Habitat, are part of the coalition, which also counts with the support of the UN Secretary-General, António Guterres.

Costa Rica was deeply involved in the negotiation process of the first Gender Action Plan in the framework of the UNFCCC during COP-23. Furthermore, for the first time ever, gender equality was one of the main political topics during the UNFCCC Pre-COP-25 on October 8-10 in San José. This is an important precedent for the promotion and effective integration of human rights in the high-level discussions on climate change.

This description of initiatives and commitments only intends to demonstrate that political will has a crucial role to play in the active promotion of human rights. By being effective in climate action, countries will be able to support and implement an inclusive global agenda, which is needed to achieve international goals, including the Sustainable Development Goals and the 1.5°C goal of the Paris Agreement. This is critical for a real tomorrow and to fulfill the transformations so desperately needed.
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## Acronyms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Degrees Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>Action for Climate Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACHR</td>
<td>American Convention on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFOLU</td>
<td>Agriculture, Forestry, and Other Land Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDR</td>
<td>Common but differentiated responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW</td>
<td>Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESCR</td>
<td>Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFS</td>
<td>Committee on World Food Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties of the Paris Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties of the Kyoto Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>Committee on the Rights of the Child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPD</td>
<td>Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP</td>
<td>Gender Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCF</td>
<td>Green Climate Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>Global Environment Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Recommendation 37</td>
<td>General recommendation on gender-related dimensions of disaster risk reduction in the context of climate change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR</td>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICESCR</td>
<td>International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO</td>
<td>International Labour Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCIP-P</td>
<td>Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAPA</td>
<td>National Adaptation Programme of Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDC</td>
<td>Nationally Determined Contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCCB</td>
<td>Paris Committee on Capacity-Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDD</td>
<td>Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBI</td>
<td>Subsidiary Body on Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBSTA</td>
<td>Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDHR</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDRIP</td>
<td>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFCCC</td>
<td>United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIM</td>
<td>Warsaw International Mechanism on Loss and Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUNGO</td>
<td>Youth constituency of the UNFCCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

Over the last twenty-five years, the international climate regime has evolved significantly. Since its signing in 1992, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) has triggered two subsequent legally binding agreements and several financial mechanisms to advance climate action. Under the Convention, several major international climate conferences have been convened, which have built global awareness about the imperative for a collective response to the climate crisis. As the regime has evolved, so too has the thinking behind it; countries have increasingly recognized that climate change is not solely about emissions reductions, but that it has a social dimension. As recognized by the United Nations (UN) High Commissioner for Human Rights, the climate crisis is the greatest-ever threat to human rights. Adequate climate responses are required to protect human rights in the twenty-first century, which means avoiding climate responses that would negatively impact the realization of human rights. Indeed, a human-rights-based approach to climate action increases both the ambition and sustainability of climate action.

The last ten years have brought increasing recognition of the link between human rights and climate change, both within and outside the UNFCCC, with the connection also being made in courts and human rights bodies. In Paris, the Parties acknowledged that climate change was a common concern and that human rights obligations should be considered when taking climate action. Too often, however, this connection has been made only in relation to adaptation actions. This present report provides an overview of the increasing linkages between human rights and climate change, with a particular emphasis on the growing inclusion of human rights in decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties (COP) of the UNFCCC and in decisions of established subsidiary bodies under the UNFCCC. By demonstrating this link between climate change and human rights, this report seeks to build momentum for the further inclusion of human rights in COP decisions and for human rights to guide climate action.

With the adoption of the Paris Agreement in 2015 and the subsequent adoption of most of its Implementation Guidelines (the so-called “Paris Rulebook”) at the 24th COP in December 2018, Parties will now begin to implement this agreement. This implementation should be guided by human rights. As the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has put into stark relief, the need for urgent and ambitious climate action to keep global temperature rise under 1.5°C is pressing, as the impacts at 1°C of warming already threaten the realization of human rights. With each additional degree of warming, the impacts become more severe. Taking a rights-based approach to climate action will not only help fulfill human rights obligations, but also help achieve the long-term goal of the Paris Agreement. As highlighted in the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C, human rights provide a pathway for the increased ambition necessary to address the climate crisis.

This report begins with a general introduction on the linkages between human rights and climate change. It then turns to the UNFCCC, providing examples of both explicit and implicit references to human rights obligations, but also help achieve the long-term goal of the Paris Agreement. As highlighted in the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C, human rights provide a pathway for the increased ambition necessary to address the climate crisis.

This report begins with a general introduction on the linkages between human rights and climate change. It then turns to the UNFCCC, providing examples of both explicit and implicit references to human rights obligations, but also help achieve the long-term goal of the Paris Agreement. As highlighted in the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C, human rights provide a pathway for the increased ambition necessary to address the climate crisis.
PART 1
Interlinkages between Human Rights and Climate Change

Human rights and climate change are inextricably linked. Not only does climate change significantly threaten the realization of human rights, but human rights are also the key to enhancing the effectiveness of climate responses and providing a pathway to the ambition needed to keep global temperature rise below 1.5°C. Taking a rights-based approach leads to more effective climate action because it incorporates the voices and knowledge of indigenous peoples, women, youth, and local communities, ensures their full and effective participation, and considers how best to avoid negative environmental and social impacts. Climate change is already negatively impacting human rights, including, among others, the right to food, clean water, health, housing, culture, equality, self-determination, development, and even the right to life, through more frequent, longer, and more severe weather events such as hurricanes, heatwaves, droughts, and flooding. Similarly, sea level rise due to climate change has begun to displace communities and threatens to submerge entire countries, leading to internal displacement or migration internationally, which can impact various rights, including the right to housing or culture. In addition to the direct impacts of climate change, measures to address it can also have negative consequences and impacts. Responses to climate change, such as new infrastructure to adapt to rising seas or hydropower projects to generate non-fossil-fuel-based energy, can displace people or disrupt livelihoods and ecosystems, if done without respect for rights.

Climate change is not only a technical issue about how to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; it is increasingly understood to be a social issue, interconnected with people’s lives and inseparable from people’s daily realities. In its 2018 Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5°C, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change found that each degree of additional warming increases the risks to human lives. Additionally, the IPCC stated that these are not just future concerns, since “Impacts on natural and human systems from global warming have already been observed (high confidence). Many of the land and ocean ecosystems and some of the services they provide have already changed due to global warming (high confidence).” At a rise of 1°C, these impacts are already observable in increased extreme weather events, flooding, and droughts, among other impacts. All of these impacts will be more severe with greater global temperature increase. Current projections in a business-as-usual world indicate that global temperature rise will be over 4°C, and projections based on current targets and pledges in NDCs indicate warming of 2.6-3.2°C. Both projections would have disastrous impacts.

Moreover, those who have contributed the least to the climate crisis are often the most vulnerable to and most impacted by climate change. Historically, developed countries have contributed the most to climate change, as they have the highest historical emissions. In contrast, those facing the most severe impacts of climate change — such as people living on low-lying islands who are facing internal displacement or forced migration due to loss of their country — have contributed and are contributing the least to the crisis. Equity, an established international environmental principle and a core principle of the UNFCCC, states that “Parties should protect the climate system for the benefit of present and future generations of humankind, on the basis of equity and in accordance
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with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities. Equity is therefore at the heart of the climate regime. This principle recognizes that countries have differentiated responsibility for climate change and a differentiated capacity to act. It thus emphasizes that countries can and should take different steps to address the global climate crisis, with developed countries taking the lead. This is supported by the IPCC, which indicated that if collective efforts to combat climate change take equity into account, they can help achieve sustainable development and eradication of poverty. Equity also requires that climate action benefit those already marginalized or vulnerable, as well as those countries that are most affected by and least responsible for climate change.

Fundamentally, equity and ambition are key to effective climate action and are closely linked principles necessary to safeguard human rights in the context of climate change. Increasing ambition is fundamental to the realization of human rights, and human rights are fundamental to raising ambition. The IPCC has indicated that the higher the degree of warming, the greater the risks to people and the environment. It also found that current ambition levels, as measured in countries’ NDCs, do not create a pathway to limit global temperature rise to 1.5°C or even to 2°C. Thus, more adequate NDCs are required to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement and ensure the realization of human rights.

Respecting and promoting human rights will also increase ambition and lead to more effective climate action. Studies have shown that respecting land rights, listening to local communities and indigenous peoples, and ensuring public participation in the development of climate action projects and programs leads to more effective climate action that does not cause or exacerbate social and environmental harms. The IPCC has also highlighted that including stakeholders, particularly women, indigenous peoples, and other local and vulnerable communities, in the development of climate action plans increases their likelihood of success.

Both mitigation and adaptation projects and policies have the potential to threaten the realization of human rights as they can lead to, for example, forced resettlement and negative impacts on food, water, clean air, and indigenous peoples’ rights. For example, the Alto Maipo hydroelectric project in Chile, which is intended to produce “cleaner” electricity not generated from fossil fuels, violates human rights. The construction of this project includes violations of the right to adequate housing, as a town has been surrounded by a barrier wall due to 24/7 construction noise and traffic, as well as violations of the rights to water, food, health, and life, as the project is affecting, and diminishing, the flow of the impacted rivers. It also threatens cultural heritage as the project threatens monuments, natural sanctuaries, and protected heritage sites in the Cajón del Maipo, among other impacts.

A rights-based approach to climate action, however, can mitigate these harms as it ensures that from the beginning of project design, through development and implementation, rights considerations drive decision-making, and adequate environmental and social safeguards are implemented. It also requires that impacted people are able to participate in this process without fear of retaliation for criticizing a proposed climate project or advocating for enhanced climate action. In this way, it is imperative that environment and human rights defenders are protected in climate action. Such a rights-based approach can mitigate potential risks to human rights posed by climate action. Integrating human rights into climate action also ensures policy coherence across international instruments to which States are Parties and that climate action respects, protects, and promotes human rights.

Both international and domestic laws widely recognize human rights as a set of basic rights and freedoms that belong to every person. These human rights are broadly defined by three core human rights instruments, as well as specialized international agreements and regional human rights agreements. These rights...
include both substantive rights, including the right to food, water, housing, culture, and life, as well as procedural rights, such as the right to access to information and participation in environmental matters. All Parties to the UNFCCC have ratified at least three of these legally binding instruments, so while the scope of Party obligations differ, all Parties have legal obligations related to human rights.

Since the adoption of the UNFCCC in 1992, the climate regime has evolved significantly with respect to human rights and social issues, as discussed in detail below. This evolution is not limited to the UNFCCC alone, but instead reflects a growing recognition of the intersections between the environment, climate change, and human rights by Parties to the UNFCCC in their domestic laws, as well as in international reporting and academic literature. This intersection is also recognized by various human rights bodies, including treaty bodies, UN special procedures mandate holders, courts, and national human rights institutions.

Further, the last decade has seen significant developments in the UN human rights system as the Human Rights Treaty Bodies have increasingly engaged in the climate context. In 2015, the mandate for a UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and the Environment was created. In addition, since 2008, the Human Rights Council has almost annually dedicated a resolution to the issue of human rights and climate change. These resolutions not only highlight the impacts of climate change on human rights, but also emphasize that existing human rights obligations can “inform and strengthen international, regional and national policymaking in the area of climate change, promoting policy coherence, legitimacy and sustainable outcomes.” The resolutions have also called on States to integrate human rights in their climate actions.

Recent reports from special procedures mandate holders have also focused on climate change and its links to human rights, including the devastating impacts of climate change on people and their rights. In his 2019 report, the UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and the Environment concluded that meeting the 1.5°C target will save millions of lives and that “a safe climate is a vital element of the right to a healthy environment and is absolutely essential to human life and well-being.” In this report, the Special Rapporteur details countries’ obligations to protect human rights from environmental harm, including from climate change, comprised of procedural and substantive obligations related to mitigation, adaptation, finance, and loss and damage, as well as the duty to regulate businesses and other actors so that they do not contribute to human rights violations. The report also notes that countries have a duty not to cause transboundary environmental harm. Additionally, on the eve of the 2019 UN Climate Action Summit, a group of UN Special Rapporteurs issued a joint statement regarding the devastating impacts of climate change on human rights. They reiterated that a safe climate is essential to the right to a healthy environment and human life and that States have an obligation to act in order to meet their obligations to respect, protect, and fulfill human rights.

As mentioned, human rights treaty bodies have increasingly recognized the threats that climate change poses on human rights through General Comments and Recommendations, as well as through the State Reporting Procedure. These bodies are tasked with monitoring the implementation of their corresponding treaties by assessing States’ compliance with their human rights obligations and by elaborating on the interpretation of these instruments. General Comments issued by treaty bodies provide authoritative statements on the interpretation of a specific right or theme in relation to the respective Covenant. In 2018, the Human Rights Committee, which monitors the implementation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), issued its General Comment on the right to life including that “[e]nvironmental degradation, climate change and unsustainable development constitute some of the most
Climate Change & Human Mobility

Climate-induced changes in human mobility, such as migration and internal displacement, are already occurring and will become a more significant problem as the climate crisis develops. Without ambitious climate action, it is estimated that by 2050 over 143 million people will be at risk of being internally displaced in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America due to slow-onset impacts of climate change, such as sea level rise, glacial retreat, desertification, and land and forest degradation.1 Meanwhile, extreme weather events will continue to grow in frequency and intensity, driving millions more from their places of residence, in some cases across borders.2 Combined, these phenomena are leading some countries to plan for the relocation of vulnerable communities as an adaptation strategy to minimize harm.3 As people move within and across borders in each of these situations, their full set of human rights must be respected, protected, and fulfilled. However, as it stands, the international system lacks a comprehensive rights-based approach to climate-induced human mobility.4 Several existing international efforts have already pointed to the serious gaps in the international legal framework that increase the risks for climate-displaced persons.5

Within the UNFCCC, discussions have dealt with only a small number of aspects of this growing challenge. To date, issues related to climate change and human mobility have primarily been discussed in the work of the Executive Committee of the Warsaw International Mechanism on Loss and Damage, which addresses loss and damage occurring due to the adverse effects of climate change, including extreme weather and slow-onset events, as well as migration, displacement, and relocation.6 Its Task Force on Displacement looks at climate-induced displacement specifically.7 In 2018, the Task Force recognized not only that displacement was a human rights challenge, but also that any work to avert, minimize, and address displacement due to climate change impacts “needs to be done in a manner that is consistent with respective human rights obligations, and should take into account principles such as non-discrimination, participation and inclusion, gender; and the significance of non-economic losses, including loss of cultural heritage and social capital” and that this would require broad consultations with impacted communities.8 As a result, the Task Force recommended that the WIM submit a recommendation to the COP inviting Parties to develop domestic policies, laws, and strategies to address displacement that recognize the need for integrated approaches to this issue and that take into consideration human rights obligations and other relevant international laws and standards. Further, it recommended that information on these measures be included in NDCs, National Adaptation Plans, and Adaptation Communications, as appropriate.9 In response, the Warsaw International Mechanism (WIM) for Loss and Damage did include these recommendations from the Task Force on an integrated approach and the consideration for human rights in its report to the COP.10

The UNFCCC should continue to build on this work, as climate-induced movement of people is likely to increase and pose risks to the full realization of human rights.

pressing and serious threats to the ability of present and future generations to enjoy the right to life.”11 Similarly, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR) recently stated that “climate change constitutes a massive threat to the enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights” and noted that climate change is impacting these rights, including already affecting “the rights to health, food, water and sanitation; and it will do so at an increasing pace in the future.”12 The Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) dedicated an entire General Recommendation to climate change with its General recommendation on gender-related dimensions of disaster risk reduction in the context of climate change (General Recommendation 37).13
This has also extended to threats that are likely to become more common in coming years, such as climate-induced migration, which will be accompanied by threats to a variety of human rights. Sea level rise and other climate impacts will increasingly lead to both internal displacement and international migration.\(^5\) In its General Recommendation 37, CEDAW recommended that States should ensure migration policies are gender-responsive and should “recognize disasters and climate change as important push factors for internal displacement and migration.”\(^6\) Similarly, in a recent list of issues for Paraguay to address, the Committee on Migrant Workers requested information on how they are addressing causes of irregular migration, including climate change.\(^7\)

In addition to recognizing the threats, these bodies have also noted that States need to take action on climate change to protect and respect these rights. In a Joint Statement on Human Rights and Climate Change, five human rights treaty bodies — the CEDAW, CESCR, Committee on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families, Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), and Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities — highlighted that States’ human rights obligations in light of climate change include preventing the harm caused by climate change through mitigation, adaptation, accountability, regulation of the private sector, and international cooperation, among others.\(^8\) The Human Rights Committee stated in its General Comment that the obligation to ensure the right to life “depends, \textit{inter alia}, on measures taken by States parties to preserve the environment and protect it against harm, pollution and climate change caused by public and private actors.”\(^9\) Additionally, CEDAW’s General Recommendation 37 noted that “any measures to mitigate and adapt to climate change should be designed and implemented in accordance with the human rights principles of participation, accountability, non-discrimination, empowerment, transparency and rule of law.”\(^10\) In addition to these general comments and recommendations, human rights treaty bodies including the CESC, CEDAW, and CRC increasingly issue recommendations related to climate change to States as part of their reviews.\(^11\) A number of issues have been raised, including in relation to adaptation, procedural rights, and mitigation. There is a slight observable shift from a more adaptation-focused approach to human rights obligations, to one that more clearly identifies States’ mitigation obligations under the human rights treaties. However, obligations regarding climate adaptation remain the subject of most climate-related recommendations. For mitigation, a number of aspects are covered, including deforestation, renewable energy, and regulation of the private sector. The most coverage thus far is on human rights obligations in relation to the reduction of emissions, with addressing the climate-related impacts of fossil fuels the second most covered.\(^12\)

As evidenced above, human rights and climate change are deeply intertwined, and the climate crisis is increasingly being understood to be a human rights crisis. As such, human rights can and should inform climate action, which is necessary to fulfill human rights obligations and meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.
PART 2

Methodology

All of the Parties to the Paris Agreement are also Parties to at least three international human rights agreements and therefore have international obligations to respect, protect, and fulfill the human rights of people, including the most vulnerable. Among the international human rights treaties, the following instruments are particularly relevant to climate change:

- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women
- Convention on the Rights of the Child
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention 169

Additionally, many of the Parties to the Paris Agreement are also Parties to relevant regional instruments that provide for the protection of human rights. Forty-seven European countries are Party to the European Convention on Human Rights, which was adopted in 1950. Nearly 20 years later, the American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR) was adopted in 1969 and includes 23 Member States across North and South America. Subsequently, in 1981, the African States adopted the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights, to which 54 countries are Parties. Thus, the majority of countries in these three regions are all Parties to a regional human rights agreement. Additionally, in both Europe and the Americas, countries have come together under the auspices of the UN Economic Commission for Europe and the UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean, respectively, to adopt regional agreements on ensuring the right to public participation in environmental decision-making. Forty-seven Parties from Europe, including the European Union, and Central Asia are members of the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters. More recently, in 2018, countries in Latin America and the Caribbean adopted the Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Public Participation and Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin Amer-
rights or highlight the evolution over the 27 years that the UNFCCC has been in existence.

However, the climate regime itself has not explicitly incorporated references to all rights in its decisions over time. The fact that some rights are not explicitly included in this report does not indicate that they are not important; nor does it mean that they are not impacted by climate change (i.e., the right to water) or that they could not be beneficial to addressing climate change (i.e., respecting land rights). Rather, those rights are not included here because they largely have not been explicitly included in the UNFCCC and subsequent agreements and decisions.

This report provides details on specific substantive rights, procedural rights, and rights related to vulnerable groups, including women, indigenous peoples, youth, and persons with disabilities, to provide an overview of how social dimensions have been considered in the climate regime. These rights have had substantial treatment or advancements within the climate regime and demonstrate how the UNFCCC, its subsequent agreements, and related decisions by the COP have evolved to address social dimensions over time. The rights and social dimensions included in this report are:

- The Right to Access to Information and Public Participation
- The Right to Education
- The Right to Food
- The Rights of Indigenous Peoples
- Just Transition
- Right to Development
- Gender Equality
- Right to Health
- Empowering and Addressing the Impacts Affecting Specific Groups

Looking beyond the decisions made by States in the context of the COP, this report reviews how States have incorporated or addressed human rights in their national context as demonstrated through submissions to the COP, including NDCs and national communications to the UNFCCC.
PART 3
Human Rights Dimensions of UNFCCC Decisions

The 2015 adoption of the Paris Agreement is the first time a global environmental agreement explicitly mentioned human rights. The UNFCCC itself, while not explicitly embracing human rights, includes many provisions that address the social dimensions of climate change. For example, while it does not mention the right to food or development explicitly, it does state that greenhouse gases should be stabilized in a way that “ensure[s] that food production is not threatened” (art. 2) and acknowledges that “Parties have a right to, and should, promote sustainable development” (art. 3(4)). It also embraces public participation in responding to climate change by acknowledging that “Parties shall: (a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, sub-regional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capabilities: … (ii) Public access to information on climate change and its effects; (iii) Public participation in addressing climate change and its effects and developing adequate responses” (art. 6). The UNFCCC also recognizes the principle of equity and acknowledges the vulnerability of specific groups and countries, including the need to “protect the climate system for the benefit of present and future generations of humankind” (art. 3(1) and preamble). Thus, the origin of the international climate regime recognized related social dimensions, in alignment with human rights considerations.

As the climate regime evolved, so did the linkages between human rights and climate action. In particular, the past decade has seen an increase in implicit and explicit references to human rights in COP decisions, agreements, and the work of constituted bodies. However, these references within the UNFCCC and in countries’ national actions (discussed later) are made disproportionately in conjunction with adaptation actions alone. Explicitly referencing human rights in COP decisions, which guide Parties’ actions to fulfill their obligations under the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement, as well as their overall climate action, helps to promote policy coherence since all Parties are party to at least three human rights treaties and therefore have international obligations to respect, fulfill, and protect human rights.

Decision-making within the climate regime happens in numerous bodies, with the three main bodies comprised of the Member States that are party to each agreement, namely: the Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC; the Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties of the Kyoto Protocol (CMP); and the Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties of the Paris Agreement (CMA). Additionally, under the COP, there are two subsidiary bodies that help with the organization of the work: the Subsidiary Body on Implementation (SBI) and the Subsidiary Body on
Scientific and Technical Advice (SBSTA), which meet and make decisions that are forwarded to the COP for adoption. Parties can also create additional bodies to address specific topics, such as the Paris Committee on Capacity-Building or the Standing Committee on Finance. Lastly, there are financial mechanisms, such as the Green Climate Fund (GCF), that have been created under the auspices of the UNFCCC for the provision of financial resources related to fulfilling obligations of the COP. They report to and receive guidance from the COP, but primarily conduct their functions outside of the UNFCCC negotiating space.

Explicit References to Human Rights in the UNFCCC

The adoption of the 2010 Cancun Agreements was a watershed moment, marking the first UNFCCC decisions to emphasize the importance of integrating human rights in climate action and to highlight the impact of climate change on human rights. The preamble linked the agreements to the UN human rights system by noting a Human Rights Council resolution recognizing the negative effects of climate change on the enjoyment of human rights, particularly on those of vulnerable populations. Following the preamble, the decision called on Parties to fully respect human rights in all of their actions. It also elaborated on the importance of respecting the rights of indigenous peoples in response measures, including by establishing safeguards for approaches for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (the Cancun or REDD safeguards). Beyond these explicit references to human rights, the Cancun Agreements embraced and demonstrated that not only does climate change impact human rights, but that Parties also need to fully integrate rights into climate action. This is the first and only time that a UNFCCC decision reflected both the human rights impacts of climate change and that human rights should be respected in all climate action.

Cancun Agreements, Decision 1/CP.16 (2010), pmbl.; para. 8; para. 88 pmbl.; appendix 1

- Preamble: Noting resolution 10/4 of the United Nations Human Rights Council on human rights and climate change, which recognizes that the adverse effects of climate change have a range of direct and indirect implications for the effective enjoyment of human rights and that the effects of climate change will be felt most acutely by those segments of the population that are already vulnerable owing to geography, gender, age, indigenous or minority status, or disability,
- Para. 8: Emphasizes that Parties should, in all climate change related actions, fully respect human rights
- Para. 88, preamble: Taking note of relevant provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples,
- Appendix I: REDD safeguards
  - Para. 2(c): Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples and members of local communities, by taking into account relevant international obligations, national circumstances and laws, and noting that the United Nations General Assembly has adopted the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples;

The integration of human rights and climate change was further emphasized five years later with the adoption of the Paris Agreement. Twenty-three years after the UNFCCC was adopted, the Paris Agreement represented a significant step forward for the climate regime and for the integration of human rights within that regime, as it included an explicit reference to human rights and related principles in its preamble.

Paris Climate Agreement and Decision, Decision 1/CP.21 (2015), preamble

- Acknowledging that climate change is a common concern of humankind, Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity,

Since the adoption of the Paris Agreement, Parties have included the need to consider human rights in relation to climate action in several UNFCCC work areas and mandated several constituted bodies to also consider the interlinkages between the issues. In 2016, the year following the Paris Agreement, the Parties explicitly mandated the Paris Committee on Capacity-Building (PCCB), which was
In 2017, Parties further integrated human rights through the adoption of a Gender Action Plan (GAP), which emphasized the relevance of human rights, and the operationalization of a Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform (LCIP-P) that highlighted the importance of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and consequently indigenous peoples’ rights.

Third comprehensive review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries under the Convention, Decision 16/CP.22, para. 4(a) (2016)

- Also invites the Paris Committee on Capacity-building, in managing the 2016–2020 workplan: (a) To take into consideration cross-cutting issues such as gender responsiveness, human rights and indigenous peoples’ knowledge


- Also recalling that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights as well as gender equality

The following year, in 2018, Parties reaffirmed the commitment to ensure that the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) was essential to consider in the operationalization of the LCIP-P.

Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform, Decision 2/CP.24, preamble (2018)

- Also emphasizing, in its entirety, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in the context of the implementation of the functions of the Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform involving indigenous peoples
Implicit References to Human-Rights-Related Dimensions of Climate Change in UNFCCC COP Decisions

In addition to explicit references to human rights, Parties have adopted various decisions under the UNFCCC that recognize the need to address the dimensions of climate change and climate action that are directly relevant to human rights. Some references directly address these dimensions including, among others, public participation and access to information, education, food security, health, indigenous peoples, a just transition, right to development and eradication of poverty, and gender.

Report of the Executive Committee of the WIM for Loss and Damage associated with Climate Change Impacts, Decision 10/CP.24, annex para. 1(g)(i) (2018)

- Invite Parties: (i) To consider formulating laws, policies and strategies, as appropriate, that reflect the importance of integrated approaches to avert, minimize and address displacement related to the adverse impacts of climate change and in the broader context of human mobility, taking into consideration their respective human rights obligations and, as appropriate, other relevant international standards and legal considerations.

Thus, since the adoption of the Cancun Agreements, there has been an increase in explicit references to human rights in COP decisions.

Right to Access to Information and Participation

Procedural rights are critically important in the context of climate action, as they provide the foundation for ensuring the meaningful participation of people — including indigenous peoples, women, children, the elderly, persons with disabilities, local communities, and others who are often at the frontlines of the climate crisis — in developing climate mitigation and adaptation policies.

Protecting procedural rights is a key obligation in the international human rights framework, as well as a core principle of international environmental law. Participation in public affairs is included as a right in both the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. In international environmental law, these procedural rights were firmly established at the 1992 Earth Summit, which coincided with the adoption of the UNFCCC, where countries adopted the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development. Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration stressed the critical nature of access to information and participation in environmental decision-making. These rights have been subsequently strengthened through regional agreements, including the Aarhus Convention and the Escazú Agreement.

Further, both the voluntary Bali Guidelines of the UN Environment Programme and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) incorporate these procedural rights. SDG 16 promotes access rights; for example, target 16.7 calls on governments to “ensure responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making at all levels.” Embracing this right in the context of climate action includes ensuring the participation of people not only within international negotiations, but also in ensuring a meaningful and fully participatory process when developing climate mitigation and adaptation policies. It further requires ensuring that people are able to participate in a manner that is free from retaliation, which...
As the climate regime has evolved, Parties have continuously emphasized the importance of public participation in the UNFCCC processes, as well as in climate action at the local and national levels. As early as the COP-2, Parties emphasized the role of the public in national communications.

Over the last 20 years, public participation, access to information, and the inclusion of civil society in decision-making processes have been increasingly referenced in COP decisions. They have been addressed in decisions on capacity-building, decisions related to the financial mechanisms of the UNFCCC, loss and damage, response measures, technology, mitigation and adaptation plans and actions, and the enhancement of UNFCCC Article 6. Beginning in 2002, to further the implementation of Article 6, the Parties have adopted a series of work programs, expanding on the six key elements of the article: public participation, public awareness, education, training, access to information, and international cooperation.

Building on this, in 2007, Parties adopted an Amended New Delhi Work Programme, recognizing the importance of continuing the implementation of these rights. This amended program expanded on the original by acknowledging that facilitating access to information was not satisfied merely by disclosing information on the internet, but also meant that Parties should consider local context, including literacy rates, internet access, and languages understood in the area. The amended program also specified that full public participation included “facilitating feedback, debate and partnership in climate change activities and in governance,” adding that implementation of public participation could include ensuring the participation of “youth and other groups.”

This was elaborated on by Article 6 of the UNFCCC, which states:

- “In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1(i), the Parties shall: (a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capabilities: … (ii) Public access to information on climate change and its effect; (iii) Public participation in addressing climate change and its effects and developing adequate responses; …”

As the climate regime has evolved, Parties have continuously emphasized the importance of public participation in the UNFCCC processes, as well as in climate action at the local and national levels. As early as the COP-2, Parties emphasized the role of the public in national communications.

Over the last 20 years, public participation, access to information, and the inclusion of civil society in decision-making processes have been increasingly referenced in COP decisions. They have been addressed in decisions on capacity-building, decisions related to the financial mechanisms of the UNFCCC, loss and damage, response measures, technology, mitigation and adaptation plans and actions, and the enhancement of UNFCCC Article 6. Beginning in 2002, to further the implementation of Article 6, the Parties have adopted a series of work programs, expanding on the six key elements of the article: public participation, public awareness, education, training, access to information, and international cooperation.

Building on this, in 2007, Parties adopted an Amended New Delhi Work Programme, recognizing the importance of continuing the implementation of these rights. This amended program expanded on the original by acknowledging that facilitating access to information was not satisfied merely by disclosing information on the internet, but also meant that Parties should consider local context, including literacy rates, internet access, and languages understood in the area. The amended program also specified that full public participation included “facilitating feedback, debate and partnership in climate change activities and in governance,” adding that implementation of public participation could include ensuring the participation of “youth and other groups.”

This was elaborated on by Article 6 of the UNFCCC, which states:

- “In carrying out their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1(i), the Parties shall: (a) Promote and facilitate at the national and, as appropriate, subregional and regional levels, and in accordance with national laws and regulations, and within their respective capabilities: … (ii) Public access to information on climate change and its effect; (iii) Public participation in addressing climate change and its effects and developing adequate responses; …”

As the climate regime has evolved, Parties have continuously emphasized the importance of public participation in the UNFCCC processes, as well as in climate action at the local and national levels. As early as the COP-2, Parties emphasized the role of the public in national communications.

Over the last 20 years, public participation, access to information, and the inclusion of civil society in decision-making processes have been increasingly referenced in COP decisions. They have been addressed in decisions on capacity-building, decisions related to the financial mechanisms of the UNFCCC, loss and damage, response measures, technology, mitigation and adaptation plans and actions, and the enhancement of UNFCCC Article 6. Beginning in 2002, to further the implementation of Article 6, the Parties have adopted a series of work programs, expanding on the six key elements of the article: public participation, public awareness, education, training, access to information, and international cooperation.

Building on this, in 2007, Parties adopted an Amended New Delhi Work Programme, recognizing the importance of continuing the implementation of these rights. This amended program expanded on the original by acknowledging that facilitating access to information was not satisfied merely by disclosing information on the internet, but also meant that Parties should consider local context, including literacy rates, internet access, and languages understood in the area. The amended program also specified that full public participation included “facilitating feedback, debate and partnership in climate change activities and in governance,” adding that implementation of public participation could include ensuring the participation of “youth and other groups.”
After the second five-year term of the New Delhi Work Programme, and acknowledging that it is critical to involve stakeholders to develop and implement more effective policies, Parties adopted the Doha Work Programme on Article 6 of the Convention. Like its predecessor, the Doha Work Programme emphasized the implementation of Article 6 and expanded on the previous programs by including a “gender and intergenerational approach,” indicating that Parties could also seek participation of women and civil society organizations. The Doha Work Programme also established the ACE dialogue, designed as an annual dialogue of Parties and non-party stakeholders to discuss enhancement of the implementation of the six priority areas of Article 6, with each year focusing on one or more of these areas. Following the adoption of the Paris Agreement, these dialogues have been extended to include how to strengthen implementation of its Article 12, which is its equivalent of the UNFCCC’s Article 6. While these dialogues have been highlighted and are emphasized as a way to strengthen implementation of these articles, they often merely have been sessions focused on highlighting best practice alone without further discussion of the elements of Article 6 in the context of their status as human rights obligations or how to enhance rights-based implementation of these elements through COP rules and guidance.

Beyond the work programs, public participation and engagement of stakeholders has long featured in guidelines on adaptation. In 2001, the guidelines for preparing national adaptation programs of action included being guided by “a participatory process involving stakeholders, particularly local communities,” as well as by “gender equality.” With the adoption of the Cancun Agreements in 2010, Parties expanded on these guidelines by recognizing “the need to engage a broad range of stakeholders at the global, regional, national and local levels, be they government, including subnational and local government, private business or civil society, including youth and persons with disability, and that gender equality and the effective participation of women and indigenous peoples are important for effective action on all aspects of climate change.” Thus, Parties particularly recognized the importance of engaging vulnerable populations in climate action. The Cancun Agreements also referenced stakeholder engagement in numerous other provisions related to adaptation, capacity-building, and REDD.

The Paris Agreement built on Article 6 of the UNFCCC and repeatedly referenced the importance of public participation and access to information, including in the preamble, Article 7(5), Article 11(2), and its own dedicated article, Article 12.

- **Preamble:** *Affirming* the importance of education, training, public awareness, public participation, public access to information and cooperation at all levels on the matters addressed in this Agreement,

- **Article 12:** Parties shall cooperate in taking measures, as appropriate, to enhance climate change education, training, public awareness, public participation and public access to information, recognizing the importance of these steps with respect to enhancing actions under this Agreement.

Building on this, in 2018, the Parties to the Paris Agreement adopted rules guiding its implementation, also known as the “Paris Rulebook.” This rulebook emphasized the importance of public participation and access to information through
the adoption of several provisions for the implementation of the Paris Agreement beyond Article 12. Critically, the guidance related to NDCs stipulates that Parties can provide information on how they prepared and plan to implement their NDCs, including in relation to “public participation and engagement with local communities and indigenous peoples, in a gender-responsive manner.”93 As noted above, not only is there a right to public participation, but having public participation at all stages of decision-making, starting with planning and developing NDCs and extending all the way through implementation, provides for better-informed policies and plans and therefore better climate action. It also ensures that decisions are tailored to local circumstances and that there is greater public support and ownership of the plan, which is ultimately more sustainable. The Paris Committee on Capacity-Building has acknowledged this, noting the need to help enhance Parties’ consultation processes to engage all stakeholders, including indigenous peoples, women, youth, and workers, among others.96 Relatedly, the decision on the Transparency Framework included that Parties shall provide information on stakeholder engagement related to achieving their NDCs.91 It also recognized that the information necessary for assessing the effectiveness and sustainability of action, specifically, in this instance, adaptation action, includes stakeholder engagement.92

One of the first decisions finalized as part of the Paris Agreement Work Programme concerned “Ways of enhancing the implementation of education, training, public awareness, public participation and public access to information so as to enhance action under the Paris Agreement.” It reaffirmed the role of stakeholders in climate action by encouraging Parties to promote access to information and participation as they develop climate policies, and to include information on these elements as they relate to preparing and implementing climate action.93

- Para. 5: Also encourages Parties to continue to promote the systematic integration of gender-sensitive and participatory education, training, public awareness, public participation, public access to information, and regional and international cooperation into all mitigation and adaptation activities implemented under the Convention, as well as under the Paris Agreement, as appropriate, including into the processes of designing and implementing their nationally determined contributions, national adaptation plans, long-term low greenhouse gas emission development strategies and climate policies;

- Para. 8: Encourages Parties to include, as appropriate, information on how education, training, public awareness, public participation, public access to information, and regional and international cooperation are considered in the preparation and implementation of the actions under the Paris Agreement;

- Para. 9: Considers that Parties and stakeholders may, as appropriate, take into account actions to enhance climate change education, training, public awareness, public participation, public access to information, and regional and international cooperation in the context of Article 14 of the Paris Agreement;

- Para. 10: Encourages Parties to foster public participation and collaborate with, inter alia, regional as applicable and local authorities, the scientific community, universities, the private sector, civil society organizations and youth to scale up the implementation of Action for Climate Empowerment;

Additional aspects of the Paris Agreement Work Programme related to making sure information was accessible, for example in the public registry,94 as well as ensuring public participation, as it relates to, for example, response measures,95 the technolo-
The Right to Education

Within the UNFCCC, public participation and access to information are discussed along with the need to enhance climate change education. Climate education can help facilitate people’s participation in climate action. The Convention on the Rights of the Child recognizes that children have their own rights. Critically, Article 28 recognizes “the right of the child to education” and Article 29(1)(e) further specifies that the “States Parties agree that the education of the child shall be directed to … (e) The development of respect for the natural environment.”

Climate change impacts children’s right to education because the effects of climate change can result in children not being fully able to attend school. The CRC has regularly underlined that children’s education should include climate change and respect for the natural environment. For example, the CRC recommended including “climate change adaptation and disaster-risk reduction in the school curriculum.”

Youth face significant climate impacts and are the generation most affected by its impacts. Education has been a key component in the UNFCCC and has been included in the work of the Parties since the first COP, as well as in Article 12 of the Paris Agreement and Article 6 of the UNFCCC. Climate change education has also been identified as a program priority for the financial mechanism (primarily the Global Environment Facility (GEF)). Moreover, education has long been featured as a priority for capacity-building and as a component that should be included in national communications. As in the case of public participation, the New Delhi Work Programme and Doha Work Programme are the primary vehicles for enhancing climate change education within the UNFCCC. One of the primary outcomes of the work programs has been the establishment of the ACE dialogues, which focus on education and training every other year. Though these dialogues have provided little more than sharing best practices, they have led to the elevation of climate change education as a fundamental part of Parties’ climate action. This can be seen through the 2018 ACE Youth Forum, which was an initiative of the youth constituency of the UNFCCC (YOUNGO) and the COP presidency. While the Forum highlighted the need to enhance ACE national focal points, it primarily focused on elevating education as a key part of ACE. Thus, it recommended that Parties ensure climate education is integrated into curricula at all levels of education, integrate climate education into all materials, and develop online materials and courses.

The importance of enhancing and expanding climate change education has also been highlighted by ministers with the 2014 Lima Ministerial Declaration on Education and Awareness-Raising, in which the heads of delegations at the COP recognized the importance of education as critical for adapting to climate change. That Declaration “encourage[d] governments to develop education strategies that incorporate the issue of climate change in curricula” and “to give increased attention, as appropriate, to education …”

The Paris Agreement Work Programme issued a decision on the implementation of Article 12, in which Parties were encouraged to include information on how education is considered in preparing and implementing actions under the Paris Agreement, and to take account of actions to enhance climate change education in the Global Stocktake, among other activities.

The Right to Food

The changing climate impacts food security. The right to food was first mentioned in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which states that “Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food…” The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural
Rights (ICESCR) adopted similar language, adding “adequate” before food and expanding on the right to recognize the right to be “free from hunger.”\textsuperscript{114} It also linked the right to be free from hunger with the acknowledgement that this would entail the adoption of measures related to the means of production to achieve this.\textsuperscript{115} Subsequently, CESCR elaborated on this right through a General Comment on the right to food, including that the right will be realized when everyone has actual access to adequate food or ways to obtain it,\textsuperscript{116} and it acknowledged that achieving this would be different for different countries.

In 2009, the World Summit on Food Security declared that the “four pillars of food security are availability, access, utilization, and stability,”\textsuperscript{117} thus emphasizing that, not only does food need to be available, it also needs to be accessible, useable, and obtainable over time. The Committee on World Food Security (CFS), a multi-stakeholder platform to address food security, has also produced recommendations related to food security and climate change.\textsuperscript{118} It has incorporated these recommendations and a discussion of the relationship between climate change and food security in its Global Strategic Framework for Food Security and Nutrition.\textsuperscript{119}

Within the climate regime, Parties have long recognized the links between food production and climate change. In the objectives of the UNFCCC itself, Parties included a reference to food: “Such a level should be achieved within a time-frame sufficient … to ensure that food production is not threatened…”\textsuperscript{120} Parties continued to acknowledge these linkages, and at the second COP in 1996, the Geneva Ministerial Declaration, which was meant to demonstrate commitment to addressing climate change at the Ministerial level, stated, “The projected changes in climate will result in significant, often adverse, impacts on many ecological systems and socio-economic sectors, including food supply and water resources, and on human health…”\textsuperscript{121}

However, as the IPCC has noted, climate change impacts both food production and food security.\textsuperscript{122} While the early references are on food production, in 1999, Parties recognized the impacts of climate change on food security.\textsuperscript{123} Two years later, they elaborated on this with a series of decisions, including those related to capacity building\textsuperscript{124} and adaptation,\textsuperscript{125} and discussed both food security and access to food, as well as the associated rights to water and health. In this evolution, Parties also acknowledged that one of the ways to address the problems related to access to food, water, and adequate health is to look at the synergies between the UNFCCC and the other two Rio Conventions.\textsuperscript{126}

In 2015, the Paris Agreement, going beyond the references in the UNFCCC, incorporated both food security and food production. This included a reference to food security in the preamble, as well as food production once again in the Agreement’s objectives.\textsuperscript{127}

Since the recognition of food security in the Paris Agreement, Parties have continued to build on the increased recognition of the impacts of climate change on the right to food and the need for the climate regime to ensure food security. In 2017, Parties created a joint work program under SBI and SBSTA that explicitly highlights the need to address food security. This work program, the Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture, requested these subsidiary bodies “to jointly address issues related to agriculture, including through workshops and expert meetings, working with constituted bodies under the Convention and taking into consideration the vulnerabilities of agriculture to climate change and approaches to addressing food security.”\textsuperscript{129}

Thus, as the climate regime has evolved, so have the decisions to acknowledge that the changing climate impacts the right to food and that climate action needs to address not only its production, but also the issue of food security. This right should also be considered in climate mitigation and adaptation action because poorly designed measures, such as converting land to develop biofuels or generate negative emissions, can threaten food security as they risk impacting land used for food production.
Rights of Indigenous Peoples

Respecting the rights of indigenous peoples and their traditional knowledge is essential to climate action. It has long been acknowledged that given their close relationship with the land and ecosystems, indigenous peoples have specific and unique knowledge that makes their participation in environmental management and decision-making vital, in particular as it relates to understanding and reacting to climate change and its impacts. Indigenous peoples are among the first to experience the impacts of climate change. Plans and actions to mitigate or adapt to climate change can pose a threat to indigenous peoples, and they are often not meaningfully consulted nor are their rights respected in climate action. This violation of rights occurs despite the fact that indigenous peoples have, for centuries, contributed to the preservation of their land and adapted to changes in the environment.

After years of negotiations with indigenous peoples, the UN General Assembly adopted the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, which recognizes the inherent rights of indigenous peoples and serves as a comprehensive international instrument on their rights. These inherent rights include, among others, self-determination, collective rights, the right to development, the right to their lands, and the right to free, prior, and informed consent. Similarly, ILO Convention No. 169 forms a cornerstone of the international framework related to the rights of indigenous peoples.

Climate change impacts all of these rights. As the climate regime has evolved, so has recognition of the importance of the participation of indigenous peoples in addressing climate change and the importance of respecting their rights. The importance and value of indigenous peoples’ traditional knowledge has also received significant recognition.

Parties began to meaningfully address the role of indigenous peoples in climate action and the need to respect their rights in the context of developing the framework for Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD). This culminated in 2010 with the Cancun Agreements and REDD safeguards, which included promotion of, and support and respect for, the rights of indigenous peoples. They also referred to the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.

Following 2010, Parties continued to recognize indigenous peoples’ rights and the importance of indigenous peoples’ knowledge in decisions related to adaptation. As noted above, indigenous peoples, who are often on the frontlines of climate change, have a long history of adapting to changes to their land and knowledge of how to do so. As a result, indigenous knowledge was highlighted in decisions on national adaptation plans, and the Adaptation Committee recommended that Parties treat indigenous knowledge the same way they treat science. It also recommended that financial mechanisms of the Convention enhance how they consider indigenous knowledge and practices.
The Paris Agreement marked a significant step forward in ensuring the rights of indigenous peoples. It explicitly states that Parties should consider the rights of indigenous peoples in climate action. In line with earlier decisions, Parties, in Article 7(5), recognized the importance of indigenous peoples’ traditional knowledge in adaptation action.

The land sector has a critical role to play in ambitious climate action. Climate change puts stress on land, but land also holds the key for effectively combating and responding to climate change. Emissions from Agriculture, Forestry, and Other Land Use (AFOLU) account for roughly 22 percent of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in the most recent period with data, primarily through systems related to production of food, raw materials, and energy. These systems lead to soil degradation, artificial fertilizer application, and land clearance. Concomitantly, changes in land-use practices, including slowing deforestation, changing agricultural practices, and pursuing reforestation, were expected to contribute 25 percent of greenhouse gas mitigation by 2025-2030 in early NDCs submitted.

There are important human rights norms that relate to the need to respect customary systems of land tenure. Securing access to land is also essential to the realization of many human rights, including the right to food, water, adequate housing, family life, and participation in cultural life. As made clear by the IPCC’s Special Report on Climate and Land, climate change affects land’s continued ability to help fulfill these rights. Furthermore, improving land security, including through recognition of customary systems of land tenure, is essential to combatting climate change. In the Brazilian state of Acre, extensive indigenous community land titling, combined with participatory zoning and incentives for sustainable livelihoods, has resulted in much lower rates of emissions from deforestation than in the Amazon as a whole.

Despite this significant land-climate nexus, there has been limited work done on land-related issues under the UNFCCC. Most notably, the UNFCCC has established the requirements for developing country Parties to receive results-based financing for avoided emissions from deforestation and degradation, or REDD+. More recently, Parties established the Koronivia Joint Work Programme on Agriculture, under which they have requested the SBI and SBSTA to begin addressing agricultural systems’ vulnerability to climate change, as well as issues contributing to desertification and climate change, such as soil fertility and cropland management.

Further, various UNFCCC decisions and mandates have recognized that even though land use plays a crucial role in climate mitigation and adaptation, rights tied to land must also be respected. For example, the Cancun Safeguards require countries implementing REDD+ activities to “respect…the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples and members of local communities.”

The UNFCCC should build on the work it has done and heed the message of the recent IPCC report. Parties should pursue climate action that recognizes not only the role land can play in combating climate change, but also the necessity of strengthening land tenure and supporting practices that ensure ecosystem integrity and the protection of biodiversity.
After the adoption of the Paris Agreement, subsequent COP decisions have built on the importance of respecting and considering the knowledge of indigenous peoples in climate action. Along with human rights, indigenous peoples’ knowledge is one of the cross-cutting issues that forms the basis of the PCCB workplan. Through this, the PCCB has emphasized the need to build the capacity of Parties to address indigenous peoples’ knowledge in climate action and better enhance consultation processes. It has also expressed that it will continue to address cross-cutting issues, including indigenous peoples’ knowledge, as they relate to the implementation of NDCs. Additionally, considering “knowledge from local communities and indigenous peoples” has been highlighted as future work of the Technology Mechanism.

The Paris Rulebook also recognizes the important role of engaging indigenous peoples and respecting their rights and knowledge in both mitigation and adaptation actions, as well as in the technology framework.

However, despite this long history of considering the rights of indigenous peoples and their traditional knowledge, there is still progress needed to mainstream indigenous peoples’ rights and knowledge into all climate action because this recognition has mostly focused on adaptation thus far.

A more comprehensive rights-based approach needs to integrate these rights in all aspects of climate action.

**A Just Transition for Workers and Decent Work**

Limiting global temperature rise to 1.5°C requires a fundamental transformation of how people around the world live, including how and where they work, as well as a transformation of the energy sector in order to shift away from fossil-fuel-dependent societies. Naturally, this transition will impact people, including workers. Employment is a critical pillar of societies, and the transition to a low-carbon society will require a fundamental transformation of the energy sector in order to shift away from fossil-fuel-dependent societies. Employment is a critical pillar of societies, and the transition to a low-carbon society will require a fundamental transformation of the energy sector in order to shift away from fossil-fuel-dependent societies.

The transition to a low-carbon society will likely include a transformation of existing jobs, with both the creation of new jobs and the elimination of others. Involving workers in this transformation is key to ensuring support for the climate action necessary to limit global temperature rise. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights specified that States recognize the right to work and that, to realize this right, States should have training programs that help “achieve steady economic, social and cultural development and full and productive employment” and that everyone has the right to “just and favourable conditions of work.” The ILO has issued “Guidelines for a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all,” which sets forth a vision, principles, and policies for ensuring a just transition that incorporates moving to an environmentally and socially sustainable economy in a manner that drives job creation, social justice, and poverty eradication. It also notes that the “four pillars of the Decent Work Agenda—social dialogue, social protection, rights at work and employment—are indispensable building blocks of sustainable development.” In addition, it highlights that in this transition, “policies must respect, promote and realize fundamental principles and rights at work” and that rights and core labor communities. It ensures that losses are compensated, and it reduces tensions between protecting existing jobs and combating climate change to ensure that communities and workers have both a healthy environment and opportunities for employment in a sustainable, green economy. Further, it addresses prevailing inequalities and ensures that the communities and workers most impacted by climate change play a leading role in creating the solutions, plans, and policies for the transition. Thus, a just transition can also accelerate ambition, as it is based on participatory decision-making in which communities and workers are not further harmed but instead have a leading role in shaping future development for a healthier environment.
In recognition of this linkage, Parties included a reference to a just transition of the workforce when they established a work program on the implementation of response measures in 2015. A growing recognition of the vulnerability of workers and the need to consider how to create decent work culminated in the inclusion of a paragraph on a just transition in the opening paragraphs of the Paris Agreement. Following this inclusion, Parties built on this recognition through subsequent decisions and the adoption of a Solidarity and Just Transition Silesia Declaration in 2018.

While a COP decision noted the Silesia Declaration, the declaration itself was not adopted by the COP, though it was endorsed by some Heads of State and some of the Parties to the UNFCCC. The Silesia Declaration stressed the imperative of a just transition for achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement, and noted the importance of having a participatory process and social dialogue to involve all partners in promoting adequate social protection, labor standards, and the wellbeing of workers and their communities when developing climate action.

This need to ensure a just transition for workers, including the creation of decent work and quality jobs, has been discussed primarily in relation to response measures and avoiding or minimizing negative impacts of responses on social and economic sectors. In recognition of this linkage, Parties included a reference to a just transition of the workforce when they established a work program on the implementation of response measures in 2015. A growing recognition of the vulnerability of workers and the need to consider how to create decent work culminated in the inclusion of a paragraph on a just transition in the opening paragraphs of the Paris Agreement. Following this inclusion, Parties built on this recognition through subsequent decisions and the adoption of a Solidarity and Just Transition Silesia Declaration in 2018.

While a COP decision noted the Silesia Declaration, the declaration itself was not adopted by the COP, though it was endorsed by some Heads of State and some of the Parties to the UNFCCC. The Silesia Declaration stressed the imperative of a just transition for achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement, and noted the importance of having a participatory process and social dialogue to involve all partners in promoting adequate social protection, labor standards, and the wellbeing of workers and their communities when developing climate action.

Right to Development and Other Social Issues

Addressing climate change will impact how countries are able to use their resources and develop. The African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights was the first agreement to recognize the right to development. Subsequently, the UN General Assembly adopted the Declaration on the Right to Development, which recognized the linkages between this right and rights embodied in the ICCPR and ICESCR, among others, and declared that “the right to development is an inalienable human right.” Further, it recognized that development encompasses the economic, social, cultural, and political dimensions of development. This interlinking of the right to development and other rights was also clear at the Earth Summit in Rio, where States focused on the linkages between the environment and development and noted that fulfilling the right to development must be done in a way that “equitably meet[s] developmental and environmental needs of present and future generations.” Similarly, the UNDRIP recognizes this right as one belonging to indigenous peoples and that

Cancun Agreements, Decision 1/CP.16 (2010): Para. 10:

- Realizes that addressing climate change requires a paradigm shift towards building a low-carbon society that offers substantial opportunities and ensures continued high growth and sustainable development, based on innovative technologies and more sustainable production and consumption and lifestyles, while ensuring a just transition of the workforce that creates decent work and quality jobs;

standards can help address the challenges that will arise in transitioning to a green economy, thereby helping ensure a just transition.
they have the right to be involved in determining and developing the strategies for achieving it.\textsuperscript{178}

The right to development further reinforces the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR). While all countries must take action to combat climate change and no country can hide behind this right to justify not taking action, how this transition to low-carbon and climate-resilient societies occurs will differ. Under Article 3(3), States have a “duty to cooperate with each other in ensuring development and eliminating obstacles to development.”\textsuperscript{179} Thus, in the context of CBDR, developed countries have the responsibility to take the lead in climate action so that developing countries require less drastic changes, while also providing the support that developing countries need to be able to develop.

The UNFCCC, as well as its Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement, recognize that climate change is not a problem that is divorced from other development, economic, and social concerns. From its inception, the UNFCCC has recognized the linkages to sustainable development and poverty eradication. Parties have expanded on the original language in the UNFCCC — that “Parties have a right to, and should, promote sustainable development”\textsuperscript{180} — in the Paris Agreement preamble by recognizing the right to development.\textsuperscript{181}

As the UN climate regime and climate action have evolved, so have COP decisions and Parties’ recognition of the connections between climate change and social impacts.\textsuperscript{182} An example of this is the 2002 Delhi Ministerial Declaration on Climate Change and Sustainable Development.\textsuperscript{183} This recognition has evolved into COP decisions emphasizing the need to consider the social consequences of response measures,\textsuperscript{184} including on vulnerable groups, women, and children,\textsuperscript{185} and when assessing approaches to loss and damage.\textsuperscript{186}

This has also manifested itself in relation to REDD and the financial mechanisms, where Parties have included the need for environmental and social safeguards to be considered in regards to climate action.\textsuperscript{187} Subsequently, the financial mechanisms have developed safeguard policies.\textsuperscript{188} These safeguards help ensure that climate action is effective and does not otherwise harm people or their environment. Recently, Parties have also noted the importance of safeguards in the context of the work related to technology under the UNFCCC.\textsuperscript{189}

As noted above, the Paris Agreement embraced the right to development and the need to consider the social consequences of response measures,\textsuperscript{190} as well as recognizing that climate action can have social and environmental benefits\textsuperscript{191} and that there is value in ensuring plans and projects are socially viable.\textsuperscript{192} Subsequent decisions have built on these themes and further integrated social concerns and inclusion into the implementation of the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement.\textsuperscript{193} Naturally, decisions that address the loss and damage suffered by vulnerable populations due to climate change have included social dimensions, as they directly focus on those most vulnerable to climate change.\textsuperscript{194} These decisions highlight the need to develop “social protection instruments, including social safety nets” as part of the response to increasingly severe climate-related disasters.\textsuperscript{195} The Executive Committee of the Warsaw International Mechanism on Loss and Damage has incorporated social dimensions into its recommendations, specifically here on approaches related to addressing and minimizing displacement, including through participation of communities most affected and likely to be displaced in activities such as data collection, research, and risk analysis, among others.\textsuperscript{196}

References to the social consequences of both climate change and climate action can be found throughout the Paris Rulebook. The guidance related to NDCs includes consideration of contextual matters in planning processes, including “[n]ational circumstances, such as geography,
climate, economy, sustainable development and poverty eradication" and how “social consequences of response measures have been considered” in the development of the NDCs. Similarly, the decision on adaptation communications notes the relationship between climate action, sustainable development, and poverty eradication. This also means that the Parties are requested to provide information on social impacts of response measures, climate change itself, and “how adaptation actions influence other development goals” as part of the Transparency Framework. Within the Global Stocktake, Parties consider what has happened related to “the social and economic consequences and impacts of response measures.”

Ensuring the right to development is a central component of ensuring climate justice, as it places people at the center and guarantees everyone has the right to participate in and benefit from development. Climate action encompassing the right to development can help promote the realization of human rights and ensure that climate action does not exacerbate other problems, such as inequality and poverty, but instead helps address them comprehensively.

Gender Equality

Women and girls are disproportionately impacted by climate change, while at the same time possessing significant knowledge on how to mitigate climate change and create gender-just solutions. Building on the UDHR, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women defines what constitutes discrimination against women and sets forth the responsibilities of States to take measures to eliminate such discrimination. Further, in its General Comment on Article 2, the CEDAW has specified that to achieve their responsibilities under the Convention, States must “ refrain from making laws, policies, regulations, programmes, administrative procedures and institutional structures that directly or indirectly result in the denial of the equal enjoyment by women of their civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights.” This includes taking steps to ensure that climate change does not disproportionately impoverish women or undermine equality. Further, Sustainable Development Goal 5 acknowledges that ending discrimination against women is critical to achieving sustainable development and helps drive economic growth.

Within the UNFCCC, gender equality has increasingly been incorporated in its decisions, beginning with Decision 36/CP.7. This decision focused on improving the participation of women in the representation of Parties in bodies established under the UNFCCC or the Kyoto Protocol and in the UNFCCC itself, underlining the importance of having gender balance in its constituted bodies. Additionally, Parties have increasingly recognized the importance of gender equality and of considering gender aspects, including gender disaggregated data, in climate action. Parties have also stated that in taking climate action, Parties should take a “gender-sensitive” approach. This has been integrated into the plans for implementing Article 6 and into the work programs of the financial mechanisms serving the UNFCCC.

The consideration of gender has led to the development of the Lima Work Programme on Gender, a Gender Action Plan as discussed above, and specific decisions on gender and climate change, including Decision 21/CP.22 (2016), which explicitly references the Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women. The Paris Agreement’s preamble, as previously cited, also incorporates gender equality, and gender considerations have been further incorporated in the Agreement in Articles 7(5) and 11(2).

As noted in other sections, decisions related to the implementation of the Paris Agreement, specifically in regards to mitigation and adaptation communications, mention the potential inclusion of information on how actions can be taken in a gender-responsive manner. The PCCB is also explicitly mandated to address gender-responsiveness, among other cross-cutting issues, and it has recommended that Parties enhance their capacity to address gender-responsiveness in climate action and to expand the consultation process for engaging women. Decisions following the adoption of the Paris Agreement have also included gender considerations related to finance, adaptation, and technology. Additionally, Parties have recognized the need to specify the critical nature of “promoting the systematic integration of gender-sensitive” and participatory approaches in all activities. Further, the PCCB has highlighted the importance of increasing Parties’ capacities to ensure gender-responsiveness in implementation of the NDCs. Despite this history of recognizing the need to ensure gender equality and gender responsiveness, there remains a need to integrate gender considerations more systematically, as it has tended to be mentioned more in the context of adaptation than mitigation or other areas.

The Gender Action Plan, which was adopted in 2017, is designed to further enhance the meaningful participation of women and to promote gender-responsive climate policies. It is also intended to better mainstream gender in the implementation of the climate regime at every level. Among other aspects, it prioritizes capacity-building, as well as improving gender-responsive implementation by ensuring the “respect, promotion and consideration of gender equality and the empowerment of women in the implementation of the Convention and the Paris Agreement.”

A gender-just approach to climate action will not only help fulfill Parties’ international human rights obligations, but will also help to create better, more sustainable climate action and acknowledge the value of women and girls’ knowledge to contribute to climate solutions.
Right to Health

Climate impacts like extreme heatwaves can lead to direct and indirect impacts on people’s lives and health. The World Health Organization (WHO) first laid out the fundamental right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health (or “right to health”) in its 1946 Constitution. Two years later, the UDHR stated, “Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and wellbeing of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services…” Numerous other international human rights conventions have also included the right to health, including the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, which states, “The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health,” and sets forth steps to be taken to realize that right. In General Comment 14, the CESCR provided more detail on the right to health, including its four “interrelated and essential elements” — availability, accessibility, acceptability, and quality. In addition, the Human Rights Council has recognized that climate change impacts the right to health.

Parties to the UNFCCC have long recognized the impacts of climate change on human health, beginning with the Geneva Ministerial Declaration in 1996, which recognized that climate change would have significant impacts on human health, among other socio-economic sectors. This was followed by ministerial declarations in 2001 and 2002, both of which recognized health as a key global issue and therefore important to address through interlinkages with the UNFCCC and other international environmental conventions, as well as in sustainable development planning. Parties have primarily recognized health as an area to be addressed in adaptation activities and as an element that should be supported by the transfer of financial resources to developing countries. Linking adaptation and health continued with the Nairobi Work Programme on impacts, vulnerability, and adaptation to climate change. This work program requested that SBSTA consider health, among other topics, in its work. In the lead-up to the Paris Agreement, the Lima Call for Action included the importance of seizing opportunities with both high mitigation potential and “adaptation, health and sustainable development co-benefits.” Subsequently, the Paris Agreement said that in taking climate action, Parties should “respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on…the right to health.”

Empowering Specific Groups and Addressing the Impacts Affecting Those Groups

The UNFCCC has long acknowledged that climate change disproportionately impacts vulnerable countries and groups. It has also recognized the need for Parties to understand vulnerabilities so that they can make better informed decisions on how to address climate change in a way that does not exacerbate them. At the same time, the UNFCCC has acknowledged that steps need to be taken to address the rights of members of vulnerable groups and to empower specific groups to participate in climate action. This section focuses on how the climate regime has addressed a few specific vulnerable groups, namely persons with disabilities and youth, which provide an example of how the regime has tended to address vulnerable groups overall.

International human rights law has addressed vulnerable groups, both generally, in the sense that all people have certain rights (i.e., the right to life), and specifically, including through dedicated instruments on the rights of women, children, persons with disabilities, and migrant workers and their families. These in-
Over the last ten years, the COP has increasingly referenced vulnerable groups, and in the preamble of the Paris Agreement, explicitly stated that Parties need to respect, promote, and consider their obligations related to the rights of these groups, including migrants, children, persons with disabilities, and people in vulnerable situations. Indicative of this is the explicit inclusion of persons with disabilities and youth in COP decisions, often alongside women and indigenous peoples. The Cancun Agreements included persons with disabilities and youth in the list of people who were more likely to acutely feel the impacts of climate change on their human rights, and they explicitly mentioned persons with disabilities and youth in the list of stakeholders that should be engaged to achieve more effective climate action. Similarly, their concerns were listed alongside other already vulnerable groups that warranted specific consideration related to loss and damage in Decision 3/CP.18 (2012): “Acknowledges the further work to advance the understanding of and expertise on loss and damage, which includes, inter alia, the following: … (iii) How loss and damage associated with the adverse effects of climate change affects those segments of the population that are already vulnerable owing to geography, gender, age, indigenous or minority status, or disability, and how the implementation of approaches to address loss and damage can benefit those segments of the population.”

COP decisions incorporating specific references to vulnerable groups have often been related to ensuring their participation. For example, youth participation and the enhancement of youth engagement have been particularly highlighted in decisions related to Article 6 of the Convention. Persons with disabilities have also been incorporated into decisions related to Article 6 of the Convention. Participation of vulnerable groups has been highlighted in relation to the planning of climate action. For example, the 2018 Report of the Adaptation Committee “encourage[d] Parties to take a participatory approach to adaptation planning and implementation so as to make use of stakeholder input, including from the private sector, civil society, indigenous peoples, local communities, migrants, children and youth, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations in general.”
The integration of human rights and climate change is not happening only at the international policy level, but at the national level as well. This section explores national activity through the lens of country reports and commitments communicated to the UNFCCC. The references in Parties’ NDCs and national reports demonstrate the considerable amount of work that is being done at the national level. By referencing these activities in national reports, countries are contributing to creating a body of work and practice around the integration of human rights in climate action across different countries and regions. Unfortunately, as can be seen in what follows, in many instances these references prove too limited to provide concrete examples for other countries to replicate.

Under the UNFCCC, Parties have obligations to report to the COP on national climate action, including through national communications and national adaptation plans. Additionally, under the Paris Agreement, each Party committed to developing and sharing its NDC every five years, which include its plans for implementing climate action under the Agreement.257 In all of these communications, Parties have an opportunity to include information on how human rights is guiding or being integrated into climate action. To date, numerous Parties have explicitly referenced human rights in the communications to the UNFCCC Secretariat, both in their reports on national climate policies and in their national commitments as expressed in their NDCs. Twenty-four countries explicitly referred to human rights in their intended NDCs, which were submitted prior to the adoption of the Paris Agreement. Moreover, as of December 2017, 76 Parties had explicitly referenced human rights in their national reports (either their national communications or their National Adaptation Programmes of Action (NAPAs)).

**Examples in Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)**

NDCs form the core of climate action under the Paris Agreement, as they collectively form the yardstick with which to measure progress towards achieving the goals set out under the Agreement. Because of their central role in guiding climate action, they are essential for integrating human rights in climate action. This integration can be achieved in a variety of ways, as can be seen in different countries’ NDCs. The intended NDCs were indicative of a growing momentum for greater integration of human rights in climate action. Most of these NDCs were submitted prior to the adoption of the Paris Agreement, its Work Programme, and the guidelines for what to include in NDCs. Twenty-four countries, predominantly from Latin America and the Caribbean, explicitly referenced human rights in their intended NDCs. Of those, seventeen Parties referred to human rights as a principle guiding the implementation of their NDCs, while seven referred to human rights in the description of their domestic legal frameworks. Additionally, in November 2018, the Republic of the Marshall Islands submitted a second NDC, which expanded on its commitment to integrating human rights in the planning, programming, and implementation of its NDC.261 This inclusion demonstrates not only that, at the national level, human rights and climate action are linked and seen as related, but also that countries consider human rights a critical component to include in NDCs along with more quantitative in-
formation, such as the reduction of specific greenhouse gases. It also indicates that, at the national level, human rights are seen as part of the context of climate action, even though countries’ approaches to incorporating rights vary.

The intersectional nature of human rights was cited by a number of countries in their NDCs. For example, Mexico indicated that its policies were based on a cross-cutting human rights and gender perspective and re-emphasized this approach as the basis for its adaptation section and as fundamental to capacity-building. Costa Rica, in its section on equity and ambition, indicated that climate policies and subsequent actions will be based on its commitment to human rights and gender equality. Similarly, Uganda noted that its NDC contributions include “cross-cutting respect for human rights and gender-responsive climate actions.”

Several countries put people at the heart of climate action in their NDC. For example, Honduras’ NDC was built on the premise that combating climate change requires a focus on people and that actions must be based on improving peoples’ lives. It also included that actions should be guided by human rights and gender equality by ensuring full and meaningful participation throughout. Relatedly, Chile and the Philippines recognized that climate change is having significant impacts on people, especially on vulnerable populations, and that climate action should therefore include the protection of human rights. In addition, several countries focused on the importance of incorporating human rights in the context of adaptation planning.

Other countries included rights in the framing of their NDCs in relation to their national constitutions, including referencing a constitutional right to a healthy environment. For example, Zimbabwe highlighted that its constitution “gives every person environmental rights,” including the right to an environment that is not harmful to their health and the duty to protect the environment for the benefit of future generations. It emphasized that the government is required to take measures to ensure the realization of these rights. It indicated that, in addition to aiming to contribute to limiting temperature rise to below 1.5°C, it would do so in a way that promotes sustainable development and builds resilience. Venezuela provided similar framing, discussing its constitution and the inclusion of environmental rights, including the duty to protect the environment for future generations. Ecuador also discussed numerous rights including its constitutional right to live in a healthy environment, while invoking the Magna Carta in emphasizing that it is the responsibility of the State to guarantee the enjoyment of rights in the constitution. Similarly, Bolivia framed its NDC in the context of its constitution and the rights of Mother Earth, as well as on the concept of “Living Well” which includes both the rights of Mother Earth and peoples’ economic, social, and cultural rights.

Several countries took a slightly different approach to framing their NDCs in the context of human rights. Morocco’s NDC set forth its vision on climate change, embracing a vision of implementing its NDC in an integrated manner, including respect for human rights and gender equality, which are also part of its constitution. Malawi included a section on human rights and gender in the context of its implementation considerations and noted that “[h]uman rights and gender issues are enshrined in the Malawi Constitution” and that “[c]onsideration of these cross-cutting issues is critical in the design and implementation of mitigation and adaptation actions.” Guyana took a broader approach than just national policies and the constitution and emphasized that in realizing its contributions, it would respect both national and international human rights commitments. Guatemala indicated that the contributions in its NDC incorporate human rights. Indonesia included human rights in its strategic approach, and both Brazil and Chad emphasized respecting human rights as a consideration in the implementation of their NDCs.

At the time of writing, there is one country that can be looked at in relation to assessing the evolution of a human rights approach in NDCs, as the Marshall Islands is the only country that has submitted a second NDC. Notably, in doing so, the Marshall Islands expanded on its commitment to human-rights-based climate action. In its initial NDC, the Marshall Islands only referenced human rights in the context of adaptation by indicating its commitment to safeguarding human rights in the face of climate impacts, such as on food security, water, and health. However, in its second NDC, submitted in 2018 prior to the COP-24, the Marshall Islands said it was “commit[ted] to a
gender-responsive and human rights-based approach in all NDC-related planning, programming and implementation.”281 Additionally, in its Annex, thus not part of its NDC, the Marshall Islands provided its “Tile Til Eo 2050 Climate Strategy,” which elaborates on its commitment to mainstream human rights into all aspects of developing and implementing its policies, laws, and projects related to climate change.282

Additionally, a large number of countries included implicit human rights references in their NDCs, by including references to the human-rights-related principles expanded upon previously. Procedural rights, specifically public participation, in relation to climate action were mentioned in 72 NDCs. A just transition was included in nine NDCs. Numerous NDCs emphasized the importance of specific groups in relation to climate action, with gender equality or the role of women included in 59 NDCs, intergenerational equity mentioned in 21, and the rights of indigenous peoples highlighted in 19. The right most often mentioned in NDCs was food security, which appeared in 99 NDCs.

Examples in National Communications

Under Article 12 of the UNFCCC, Parties are required to submit national communications to the COP that contain information about how they are implementing the Convention. These national communications provide information about what countries are doing to combat climate change and how they are implementing the Paris Agreement. Thus, the national communications provide information on specific aspects of the UNFCCC, such as mitigation, providing technological and financial support through international cooperation, and promoting education and public awareness. They provide contextual information that they deem relevant to indicate how they are implementing the Convention. The COP has provided guidelines to both Annex I283 and non-Annex I countries284 for the preparation of their national communications. According to the guidelines, information on activities related to education and public awareness can be included as part of the other information considered relevant to achieving the objectives of the Convention, but the guidelines do not include reference to human rights explicitly or implicitly beyond mentioning education.285

Given this lack of guidance related to human rights, Parties that have included reference to human rights explicitly or implicitly have done so in a variety of ways and in a variety of sections of the national communications, with some referencing human rights sections on national context; education, training, and public awareness; vulnerability, impacts of climate change, and adaptation; or international cooperation, among others. This lack of harmonized approach can make it difficult for countries to know where to include human rights and difficult for countries to learn from one another as there is not necessarily a model to follow. And as can be seen from the discussion of COP decisions above, there are numerous rights related to the implementation of the Convention. Having clearer guidance or examples on how and in which sections to include human rights references could help encourage others to incorporate rights more fully in their communications.

Even without explicit guidance, human rights have been included in the national communications that Parties submit to the UNFCCC. Seventy-eight Parties have referenced human rights in their most recent national communications. These references include, for example, highlighting the impact of climate change on the realization of human rights among the population, which is the case with the European Union’s national communication,286 and referencing Parties’ domestic laws or constitutions including human rights, such as in the national communications of Angola, Brazil, Costa Rica, Niger, and Turkey.287 Uganda specifies that its Constitution includes that “Every Ugandan has the right to a clean and healthy environment.”288 Similarly, India’s national communication indicates that the judicial process has led to the recognition
of the right to a clean environment as a component of the right to life.287 Others, like Somalia, reference domestic legislation that focuses on ensuring environmental rights.288 In a slightly different approach, China’s 2019 national communication notes goals for the future, including ensuring people’s human rights and right to participate.291 Providing information about domestic laws that incorporate human rights or the right to a healthy environment in national communications demonstrates that Parties consider this relevant information related to climate action.

A number of national communications also reference specific rights. Cambodia, Liberia, Peru, and Suriname all highlight land tenure rights, either indicating the need for these rights or efforts to strengthen them.292 Various national communications also reference the rights of indigenous peoples, women and gender mainstreaming, and the rights to food, health, and water.

Procedural rights appear in numerous national communications, including references to access to information and to participation in decision-making. How this is referenced differs. Kiribati, for example, highlights that using a community-based approach allows for and promotes participation.293 Similarly, Slovenia highlights the positive role of civil society in developing and implementing human rights approaches to climate action.294 Both Afghanistan and Somalia take a more direct approach by underlining that their environmental law includes the right to participate.295 In a different approach, the Czech Republic and Iceland specifically mention the Aarhus Convention as the basis for their policies on public participation and access to information.296

Further, given that the guidelines for national communications mention providing information about education and raising awareness, a number of Parties have discussed the right to education or education programs that include references to human rights.297 For example, Bangladesh’s most recent national communication includes references to a child’s right to education and the government’s policies and laws to protect it.298 Denmark also explicitly referenced having a curriculum that helps students develop the necessary skills and knowledge to contribute to “global citizenship,” sustainable development, and human rights.299 Similarly, Slovenia’s section on education discusses global education, which includes sustainable development, human rights, combating racism, social justice, and environmental awareness, among other topics.300

Additionally, several countries demonstrated their commitment to human rights in climate action through references to human rights in relation to international cooperation. Mexico’s most recent national communication highlights the role it has played in promoting human rights and gender equality in international fora, including the international climate negotiations and development of the Montevideo Strategy.301 Belgium’s most recent national communication similarly frames its commitment to upholding human rights in the context of international cooperation and minimizing the impacts of response measures. It states that “the respect and promotion of human rights is and remains a priority for Belgium, both at the national level and in relation with other countries.” 302

Other countries have highlighted human rights in their international cooperation policies and practices, primarily as it relates to providing financial and technical support to other countries. For example, Luxembourg’s national communication includes a sub-section on Gender Equality and Human Rights in the Context of Climate Change in its section on minimizing the adverse effects of their policies and measures in other countries. In this section, Luxembourg highlights its commitments to human rights through adherence to the European Convention on Human Rights and the Geneva Pledge, as well as underscoring that international cooperation and finance activities assessment include looking at social issues, such as human rights and gender equality.303 Sweden similarly includes a focus on ensuring that human rights are part of its efforts to minimize the adverse effects of its policies and measures and highlights human rights and gender equality in its section on bilateral aid.304

Though taking different approaches, countries from different regions demonstrate through their national communications’ references to human rights that they see the importance and relevance of human rights in the implementation of climate action under the UNFCCC.
PART 5
Conclusion

Human rights cannot be separated from climate change, as climate change impacts the realization of every single human right, and human rights are increasingly relevant for climate action. With greater frequency, these concepts are being discussed together, especially as extreme weather events exacerbated by climate change — such as devastating hurricanes, fires, draughts, and floods — occur more often and with greater intensity and result in ever greater impacts on human rights. A growing number of actors from both the human rights community and environmental community are discussing climate change and human rights together.

This momentum can be seen both inside and outside the UNFCCC. As demonstrated, at both the international level through COP decisions and at the national level, there are an increasing number of explicit and implicit human rights references. This is a positive trend that hopefully will continue as additional COP decisions are made, countries move into the implementation phase of the Paris Agreement, and develop new NDCs. This integration can, however, be improved and integrated more systematically.

Going forward, there is a need for greater consistency to ensure that human rights are referenced not only in relation to adaptation, but consistently in all climate action. Human rights are not just relevant in adapting to climate change, but also in mitigation action. Thus, there is a need to foster human-rights-based approaches, including ensuring that rights are protected for all people in the context of climate change. Parties can and should develop frameworks and processes on how to integrate rights-based approaches more systematically than by referencing individual countries or policies for good practices.

Further, addressing the climate crisis cannot be done solely through the UNFCCC, as this effort is connected to other goals, including poverty eradication and sustainable development. Increasing synergies across international agendas, such as the Sustainable Development Goals, can help countries individually and collectively achieve both their development and climate goals. Additionally, this integrated approach can help the global community more systematically embrace human rights as the pathway for increasing ambition to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement while meeting their obligations to ensure the realization of human rights. It will also help ensure that climate action does not exacerbate human rights abuses while trying to combat or adapt to climate change, including by securing better protections for environment and human rights defenders.

Creation of a more systematic human-rights-based approach to climate action can also be streamlined with support of the UNFCCC. The Paris Committee on Capacity-Building is already mandated to explore capacity-building needs related to integrated human rights approaches, and through its work, it can continue to help develop the tools needed for countries to integrate a rights-based approach. Similarly, focal points at the UNFCCC can help streamline a rights-based approach to climate action by providing guidance and support to Parties.

While this report focuses on the assessment of how human-rights-related dimensions have been better integrated in the implementation of the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement, the greatest threat to human rights is climate change itself. As the climate crisis worsens, so do the threats to the realization of human rights. Parties must therefore urgently increase ambition to fulfill their legal obligations under human rights law. To ensure that Parties do not undermine human rights in doing so or act on climate at the expense of the rights of local communities, they should build on this momentum and place human rights at the center of climate action.

Creation of a more systematic human-rights-based approach to climate action can also be streamlined with support of the UNFCCC. The Paris Committee on Capacity-Building is already mandated to explore capacity-building needs related to integrated human rights approaches, and through its work, it can continue to help develop the tools needed for countries to integrate a rights-based approach. Similarly, focal points at the UNFCCC can help streamline a rights-based approach to climate action by providing guidance and support to Parties.

While this report focuses on the assessment of how human-rights-related dimensions have been better integrated in the implementation of the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement, the greatest threat to human rights is climate change itself. As the climate crisis worsens, so do the threats to the realization of human rights. Parties must therefore urgently increase ambition to fulfill their legal obligations under human rights law. To ensure that Parties do not undermine human rights in doing so or act on climate at the expense of the rights of local communities, they should build on this momentum and place human rights at the center of climate action.
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Rights in a Changing Climate: Human Rights Under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change provides a comprehensive review of how human rights have been addressed under the UNFCCC to date. It compiles human rights references in formal outcomes of the negotiating process and in the work of subsidiary bodies, as well as maps additional references, such as those included in nationally determined contributions and national reports to the UNFCCC.

After a brief overview of the deep connection between human rights and climate change, it highlights the growing number of explicit and implicit references to human rights, both in international climate agreements and in national communications. The report demonstrates the increasing momentum to integrate human rights in climate policies in specific areas of work under the UNFCCC and how the UNFCCC has evolved to better address the social dimensions of the climate crisis. It concludes with an urgent call to action to further increase rights-based climate ambition in order to limit global temperature rise to 1.5°C and effectively address the greatest threat to the realization of human rights.